

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS  
WESTERN DIVISION**

Patrick Pursley

Plaintiff(s),

V.

City of Rockford, et al.

Defendant(s).

Case No. 18-cv-50040

Magistrate Judge Iain D. Johnston

## JOINT INITIAL STATUS REPORT

The parties submit this joint initial status report in advance of the initial status hearing set for 3/22/2018.

All parties who have appeared shall join in the filing of this initial status report. An initial status report must still be filed even if filed unilaterally.

**1. Nature of the Case Including Legal Issues, Factual Issues, and Affirmative Defenses.**

For claims by or against only some parties, identify which.

Please see attached addendum.

## 2. Parties and Service

Identify each individual plaintiff:

Patrick Pursley

Identify each individual defendant and the status of service. If more space is needed, attach additional pages to the end of this report.

Defendant	Date Served	Date Appeared	Answer Due or Date Answered
City of Rockford	2/26/18	3/1/18	3/19/18
Charlene Getty	2/26/18	3/1/18	3/19/18
Daniel Gunnell	2/26/18	3/16/18	3/19/18
Peter Striupaitis	2/26/18	3/16/18	3/19/18

Please see addendum

For each defendant not served, describe the efforts to serve that defendant. If more space is needed, attach additional pages to the end of this report.

Defendant	Efforts to Serve
-----------	------------------

Please see addendum

List any potential party the defendant(s) may seek to add as a third-party defendant.

Third-Party Defendant	Basis of Liability
-----------------------	--------------------

### **3. Status of Settlement Discussions and the Potential for Settlement**

The parties have not discussed settlement to date.

**4. Identify any Parallel Cases (including but not limited to possible MDL litigation, underlying criminal proceedings, or related litigation).**

Case Name	Case #	Court	Nature of Proceeding
People v. Pursley	93cf1174	Win. Co	underlying criminal proceeding

**5. Identify all Pending or Anticipated Motions**

Motion (including docket number if already filed)	Date Filed or Anticipated
City's Motion to Stay proceedings	03/19/18

**6. Discovery**

During the initial status hearing the Court will likely set a deadline for submitting a proposed case management order at a later date. To help guide that discussion, the parties shall provide their best estimates formed after reasonable investigation and inquiry of the amount and scope of discovery in response to the following questions:

The plaintiff(s) anticipate(s) taking about      depositions of fact witnesses.

For claims involving medical conditions, the plaintiff has about <sup>2</sup>      treaters who are either (check one) ☒ all located in or near the Rockford/Chicago areas, or ☐ includes treaters located outside the Rockford and Chicago areas such as      .

The plaintiff(s) (check one) ☐ anticipate(s) using about <sup>4</sup>      retained expert witnesses, or ☐ do(es) not anticipate retaining expert witnesses.

The defendant(s) anticipate(s) taking about      depositions of fact witnesses. That number does not include any of the depositions the plaintiff(s) anticipate(s).

The defendant(s) (check one) ☐ anticipate(s) using about <sup>4</sup>      retained expert witnesses, or ☐ do(es) not anticipate retaining expert witnesses.

The parties anticipate that fact discovery (which includes treating physician depositions) will take about      months.

**7. Consent to the Magistrate Judge**

(Must check one)

☐

All parties have appeared and will file a written consent to proceed before the Magistrate Judge for all purposes.

☒

Not all parties will consent to proceed before the Magistrate Judge.

PLAINTIFF(S)

Please see addendum.

DEFENDANT(S)

Please see addendum.

By:

Please see addendum.

By:

Please see addendum.

Rev. 12/12/2017